

## REMARKS

This Amendment responds to the Office Action dated December 20, 2006. A diligent effort has been made to respond to the objections/rejections contained therein and reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of this Amendment.

Claims 22 and 34 were rejected under 35 USC 112 because of the use of the phrase "if the information page..." Although applicants do not agree with this rejection, these claims have now been amended to remove this language, thus overcoming the 112 rejection.

With respect to the 102(a) rejection of claims 22-50 over applicants' alleged admissions in the Background section of this application, it is believed that this rejection is also overcome by the present amendment to the claims. Clearly the Background section of the present application does not disclose or suggest the step of "generating an abbreviated version of the information page, wherein the abbreviated version includes a graphical representation of the information page and an image map that identifies the locations of the plurality of frames within the graphical representation of the information page," as set forth in claim 22. Other limitations from claims 34 and 45 are similarly not disclosed or suggested in the Background of this application.

It is believed that this Amendment overcomes the present rejections and thus the application is in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

JONES, DAY  
Attorneys for Applicants

Date: 3/8/07

By:

  
David B. Cochran  
Reg. No. 39,142  
North Point  
901 Lakeside Ave.  
Cleveland, Ohio 44114